# Prevalence of Diabetes and its Risk Factors in Urban Setting of Kandahar City, Afghanistan-2015

Khwaja Mir Islam Saeed (MD, MSc)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Head of Grant and Service Contract Management Unit (GCMU), Ministry of Public Health, Kabul-Afghanistan, Tel: 0093700290955.

**ABSTRACT:-Background:** National statistics are lacking while prevalence of diabetes is increasing in Afghanistan. Thus, we assessed the prevalence of diabetes and evaluated the relationship of diabetes with its main risk factors in urban citizens in Kandahar city, Afghanistan.

**Methods and Materials**: Using WHO stepwise approach a total of 1165 men and women aged between 25 and 70 years were enrolled in the study using a multi-cluster sampling method in Kandahar city in October-November, 2015. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire for assessing non-communicable diseases and their risk factors. Fasting venous blood sample was collected to assess the lipid profile and fasting blood sugar. Anthropometric measurements of the participants were also taken. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 20. A hierarchical logistic regression analysis was applied in two steps using the enter method to examine the associations between related risk factors and diabetes.

**Results:** The crude prevalence of diabetes to be 22.4%. Out of all respondents 597 (51.2%) were females and 568 (48.8%) males with a mean age of  $38.3\pm11.2$  years. Around two thirds (73.2%) were illiterates and (79.3%) were married. 9.7% were smokers and (16.3%) were mouth snuff users. Sixty percent of respondents ate fruits less than 3 days per week and 60% ate vegetables three days or more per week. Almost 6% of subjects practiced vigorous physical activity and 21.3% reported doing moderate physical activity. At multivariate level factors such as age, moderate physical activity, residential life, BMI, level of triglyceride, low density lipoprotein, diet in term of taking vegetables, chicken and rice and finally high blood pressure had independent significant association with diabetes.

**Conclusion**: This study found high prevalence of diabetes in the surveyed population which requires serious attention. Focusing on factors age, physical activity, dietary habits, blood lipids, obesity and blood pressure could contribute in lowering the level of diabetes in urban setting of Kandahar city.

Keywords: Prevalence, Diabetes; Risk Factors, Kandahar, Afghanistan

I.

#### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is growing worldwide and has reached epidemic level in many developing and most developed countries [1, 2]. It is characterized by high levels of glucose in the blood as a result of too little insulin, resistance to insulin, or both [3]. Being a public health problem, DM has significant impact on health, quality of life and healthcare system [4]. The global prevalence of diabetes for all ages was 2.8% in 2000 and is projected to grow to 4.4% in 2030. The global number of people with diabetes is expected to become more than double from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [5]. According to a study of diabetes in Kuwait, the crude prevalence of total diabetes was 21.4% and almost one-fifth of the cases were previously undiagnosed [6]. This is consistent with 16% and 24% prevalence of diabetes in neighbouring countries of Saudi Arabia [7]. Based on the third Iranian national surveillance the prevalence of diabetes was 8.7% [8]. Likewise, Pakistan will have an estimated 14.5 million citizens with diabetes by 2025 [9]. Literature shows the main factors such as age, sex, diet, physical activity, smoking habits, obesity, hypertension, life style choices, alcohol drinking, ethnicity, residential area and other factors are associated with diabetes mellitus. For example in the United States, the prevalence of diabetes was twice as high among Mexican-Americans as among non-Hispanic whites [10]. According to a study in Oman, the overall prevalence of diabetes was 11.6% with significant differences related to residence, marital status, educational level, smoking, obesity, cholesterol level, and systolic blood pressure [11]. In Thailand, DM was associated with old age, obesity, waist to hip ratio, hypertension, cholesterol, and creatinine level in plasma [12]. In Greek the prevalence of diabetes was 15.5% in women and 20.1% in men [13]. Among Korean adults high SES, higher level of education and higher income were significantly associated with a lower likelihood of having these diabetes and hypertension [14]. Likewise, the prevalence of abnormal glucose tolerance was significantly greater in people having sedentary physical activity (33.84%) as compared to people having heavy physical activity (11.53%) [15]. Lastly, the results of a national surveillance study in Iran showed the prevalence of diabetes was higher among older age groups, females, and urban dwellers [16].

Also in Iran among 25-64 year-olds in 2005 was 7.7% with differentiation of 8.3% in females and 7.1% in males [17]. In China, the prevalence of diabetes in 35-74 year-olds was 5.5%, with differentiation of 5.8% in females and 5.2% in males [18]. In a study conducted in Punjab Province, Pakistan, the prevalence of diabetes was 12.14% in males and 9.83% in females. Risk factors such as central obesity, hypertension and family history of the disease, were strongly associated with diabetes [19]. In Afghanistan, presently, there are no provincial statistics to describe the prevalence and risk factors of diabetes and due to years of war and conflict, few studies have been conducted. Based on a World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, in 2000 there were 468,000 people with diabetes in Afghanistan. This number is expected to rise to 1,403,000 in 2030, representing nearly a threefold increase when compared to 2000 [20]. The prevalence of Diabetes among population of 20-79 years have been estimated for Afghanistan in which according to adjustment of national population the prevalence is 6.6% and 7% for 2010 and 2030, however after the world population adjustment the prevalence for 2010 is estimated 8.6% and for 2030 it is projected to be 9.9% [21]. In addition according to published studies the prevalence of diabetes was 13.2% in Kabul (age group of  $\geq 40$  years) [22] and 11.8% in Jalalabad city [23]. Besides, a recent study in Hirat city reported that the prevalence of raised blood sugar was 9.9% [24]. To fill the gap of information we aimed to assess the prevalence of diabetes and examine the associations between different risk factors and diabetes in Kandahar urban citizens, Afghanistan.

### II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The study was a cross sectional survey by design and the target population were all residents 25-70 years old living in urban areas of Kandahar city. Ethical approval was obtained from Ministry of Public Health Institutional Review Board (IRB). Temporary residents (resident < 6 months) and those living in institutionalized settings or insecure areas were excluded. We used the WHO STEP-wise tool [25] which included demographic, behavioral and biochemical domains. Due to the unavailability of previous estimates of risk factor prevalence in this city we assumed the highest prevalence or sample size calculation (50%), 95% confidence interval (CI) and margin of error of 5%. From this we estimated 385 subjects to be included in the survey. Taking into consideration the proportion of other risk factors in similar settings, the number of subjects was increased to 600. Finally, after taking into account the design effect two of cluster sampling the final sample size was increased to 1200 for the city, which was reasonable for achieving the study objectives with limited resources and funding support. The Expanded Programme for Immunization (EPI) list of the clusters was used due to its reliability in Ministry of Public Health. As sampling strategy initially we got the list of all existed EPI clusters which included village/area name, population, and number of households per cluster. In the first stage of cluster sampling we randomly selected 7 clusters of EPI using random number of excel sheet. In the second stage from each selected Cluster we randomly selected the five areas (called Area/Guzar). Later the wholes sample of households distributed among selected area according to the proportion to the size of household number in each cluster / areas. Finally, the number of households in each area divided by the sample size assigned for each areas, it enabled us to select household systematically. Very participants gave written informed consent, after being explained the study objectives and procedures. Subjects were visited at home by interviewers and data on demographic, socio-demographic, lifestyle, and behaviors were collected. This was followed by anthropometric measurements taken by trained healthcare staff of institute of health science. Height and weight were measured using portable electronic weighing scale and measuring inflexible bars. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the midpoint between the lower part of the lowest rib and the highest point of the hip on the mid-axillary line and blood pressure measured with a calibrated sphygmomanometer. The average of three measurements, with intervals of 5 minutes, was considered for analysis [8]. Finally, blood samples were collected for biochemical data. Samples were transported in cool boxes (2-8°C) from field to public health Laboratory on the day of sample collection where it was processed and serum was separated and shipped to Central Public Health Laboratory (CPHL) in Kabul. Using Cry-vials the samples were coded. On arrival in CPHL all serum samples were stored at -80°C until biochemical test conducted. Altogether 1165 samples were tested for biochemical measurement of triglyceride, cholesterol, and glucose beyond 12 samples which were poor and discarded.Diabetes Mellitus (DM) was defined as either having a diagnosis of diseases or receiving a prescription for anti-diabetic drugs. New diabetes was considered, if fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level was  $\geq$  126 mg/dl. Central obesity was defined as WC  $\geq$  80 cm in females and  $\geq$  94 cm in males. Dyslipidemia was defined according to the criteria by the National Cholesterol Education Program-Third Adult Treatment Panel as total cholesterol levels  $\geq$  190 mg/dl, TG  $\geq$ 150 mg/dl and high density lipoprotein (HDL) < 40mg/dl in males and HDL <50 mg/dl in females [26]. A Body Mass Index (BMI) of ≥30 kg/m2 was considered as obese, 25–30 kg/m2 as overweight and 18.5–25 kg/m2 as normal weight [27]. Systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and diastolic pressure  $\geq 90$  mmHg were considered as hypertensive [28].Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science software (SPSS Version 20.0). At first we used univariate analysis for differences in proportions of categorical variables between two groups. A hierarchical logistic regression analysis was applied in two steps using the Enter method. Variables found to be associated with diabetes in the univariate analysis were included in multiple logistic regression models [29]. The p-values for entry and removal variables in the stepwise logistic regression model were 0.05 and 0.1, respectively. For logistic regression analysis this study employed an odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be significant.

#### III. **RESULTS**

Totally the number of records cleaned for analysis was 1165 (96.9%) and out of them females constituted just above half 597 (51.2%) with overall mean age of 38.3±11.2 years. Most of the study participants were married (79.3%), illiteracy rate was 73.2% and 88.5% of women were at home doing housework. About one tenth (9.7%) were smokers and 16.3% were snuffers. Forty percent ate fruits and 59.7%% ate vegetables  $\geq 3$ days per week. Thirty three percent of respondents reported to use liquid oil for cooking in their kitchen while 37% used solid ghee and 29.7% used both with no difference. Almost ten percent (9.5%) of the respondents practiced vigorous physical activity and 21.3% of subjects reported doing moderate physical activity. Approximately half (56.3%) of the respondents reported reclining of  $\geq 3$  hours per day. About 16% of study respondents were obese and (55.5%) were suffering from central obesity. Only about 38.6% were at low and/or normal range of blood pressure while 29.1% were pre-hypertensive and 32.3% were hypertensive. Approximately one fourth (22.4%) recorded as raised blood sugar. In addition the data shows that 3% were diagnosed whereas 19.4% was undiagnosed diabetes (table 1). After statistical analysis odds of having diabetes was 2.11 (95%CI: 1.44 – 3.09) times significantly higher in age group of 45-54 years as compare to 25-34 age categories. Females had significantly 1.42 (95% CI: 1.07-1.88) times more odds of diabetes in comparison to males. Likewise as compare to unmarried the married category had 1.84 times (95%CI: 1.06 - 3.10) of statistically higher odds of having diabetes. There was statistically significant association between moderate physical activity and being diabetes. We found a significant association between levels of taking vegetables  $(OR=0.56\ 95\% CI:\ 0.42\ -\ 0.74)$  and rice  $(OR=2.53\ 95\% CI:\ 1.87\ -\ 3.42)$  per week and developing of diabetes mellitus. Moreover Central obesity (OR=2.16, 95%CI: 1.59 - 2.94), high blood pressure (OR=1.60, 95%CI: 1.20 - 2.14), level of triglycerides (OR=1.59, 95%CI: 1.13 - 1.99) and low density lipoprotein (OR=0.56, 95% CI: 0.42 - 0.74) had significant association with diabetes respectively (table 2). We could not found significant association of job categories, income level, education, strong physical activity, taking fruits and red meats, total cholesterol and high density lipoprotein, kitchen oil with diabetes at this level of analysis.

At multivariable level we run binary logistic regression to control the confounding factors and identify the independent association of factors and diabetes. At this level as a whole factors such as age, moderate physical activity, residential life, BMI, level of triglyceride and low density lipoprotein, diet in term of taking vegetables, chicken and rice and finally high blood pressure had independent association with diabetes (table 3).

#### IV. DISCUSSION

This study found a very high prevalence of diabetes (22.4%) in Kandahar city as compare to other cities in the country [22-24]. Even that is higher than estimation for general population [21] and close to Kuwait and Saudi Arabia [6-7]. It require very serious attention to conduct analytical study to establish the causal factors. Older age groups had high prevalence of diabetes while the sex was not significantly and independently associated with diabetes. According to other studies diabetes mellitus was most prevalent among the older age groups [22-23, 30-31]. The higher prevalence among older age groups could be due to less physical activity at higher age groups as well as genetic makeup. In this study logistic regression analysis showed that moderate physical activity and reclining type of lifestyle were associated with increased risk of diabetes. Dietary habit in terms of frequency of taking vegetables, chicken and rice were associated with developing diabetes. These findings are supported and reported by other studies within Afghanistan and out of the country [22-23, 30-32]. Body mass index, abdominal obesity, high blood pressure and levels of triglycerides and low density lipoprotein were associated with diabetes at both univariate and multivariate level. Such findings are reported by other studies in the world as well [22-23, 33-35]. The strengths of our study is being first study to show the prevalence of diabetes in this southern city of the country. It could contribute to formulation of some practical strategies for control and prevention of diabetes in urban setting of this province. It will encourage policy makers to think over a national survey to identify the burden of this problems. The sample size of 1165 is considered to be sufficient enough for identifying the burden in this urban setting. However our major limitation is not carrying out glucose tolerance test and our findings relied on one time fasting plasma glucose test. In addition due to financial constraints and security we were not able to list all households ahead of survey and exclude some portion of the city from sampling frame.

# V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The main study was supported by Ministry of Public Health and WHO office in Kabul for which these two health institutions are acknowledged. We would like to thank Central Public Health Laboratory for biochemical tests and examinations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] IDF atlas (7th edition update). Brussels, Belgium. International Diabetes Federation. Available at http://www. diabetesatlas.org; 2015
- [2] Alemzadeh R, Ali O. Diabetes mellitus. In: Kliegman RM, ed. Kliegman: Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 19th ed. Philadelphia, Pa.: Elsevier Saunders; 2011:chap 583.
- [3] Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011;94: 311–21. [2]
- [4] World Health Organization. Diabetes. WHO media center. Fact Sheet # 312 November 2009
- [5] Wild S. Roglic G. Green A. Sicree R. King H. Global Prevalence of Diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetic Care.27(5);1047-1053, 2004
- [6] Al-Khalaf MM. Eid MM. Najjar HA. Alhajry KM. Doi SA, and Thalib L. Screening for diabetes in Kuwait and evaluation of risk scores. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal .16 (7) July, 2010
- [7] Al-Nozha MM et al. Diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal, 2004, 25:1603–1610.
- [8] Esteghamati A, Meysamie A, Khalilzadeh O, Rashidi A, Haghazali M, Asgari F, et al. Third national Surveillance of Risk Factors of Non-Communicable Diseases (SuRFNCD-2007) in Iran: methods and results on prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, obesity, central obesity, and dyslipidemia. BMC Public Health 2009; 9:167.
- [9] Gaffar A. Reddy KS. Singhi M. Burden of non communicable disease in South Asia. BMJ. 328(7443);897-810, 2004
- [10] Bracelo A. Rajpathak S. Prevalence and Incidence of diabetes mellitus in Americas. Pan American Journal of Public Health. 10(5); 300-308. 2001
- [11] Al-Mosa S. Allin S. Jemiai N. Al-Lawati J. Mossialos M. Diabetes and urbanization in the Omani population: an analysis of national survey data. Population Health Metrics. Available at: http://www.pophealthmetrics.com
- [12] Aekplakorn, W. et al. Prevalence and management of diabetes and associated risk factors by the regions of Thailand. Diabetes Care. 30(8):2007- 2012
- [13] Melidonis A. Tournis S. Kompoti MG. Lentzas I. Roussou V. Iraklianou S. et al. Increased prevalence of diabetes millitus in a rural Greek population. 2006. available at: www.http://rrh.deakin.edu.au
- [14] Min H. Chang J. and Balkrishnan R. Sociodemographic Risk Factors of Diabetes and Hypertension Prevalence in Republic of Korea. International Journal of Hypertension Volume 2010 (2010), Article ID 410794, doi:10.4061/2010/410794
- [15] Kokiwar PR, Gupta S, Durge PM. Prevalence of diabetes in a rural area of central India. Int J Diab Dev Ctries 2007;27:8-10
- [16] Esteghamati A, Gouya MM, Abbasi M, Delavari A, Alikhani S, Alaedini F, Safaie A, Forouzanfar M, Gregg EW: Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in the adult population of Iran: National Survey of Risk Factors for Non-Communicable Diseases of Iran. Diabetes Care 2008, 31(1):96-98.
- [17] Esteghamati A. Meysamie A. Khalilzadeh O. Rashidi A. Haghazali M. Asgari F. Kamgar M. Mehdi MG. Abbasi M. Third national surveillance of risk factors of non-communicable diseases (SuRFNCD-2007) in Iran: methods and results on prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, obesity, central obesity, and dyslipidemia. BMC Public Health 2009, 9:167doi:10.1186/1471-2458-9-167
- [18] Gu D, Reynolds K, Duan X, Xin X, Chen J, Wu X, Mo J, Whelton PK, He J: Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in the Chinese adult population: International Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease in Asia (InterASIA). Diabetologia 2003, 46(9):1190-1198
- [19] Basti A. Fawwad A. Hakeem R. Ahmedani MY. M. Zafar M. Pakistan National Diabetes Survey: Prevalence of glucose intolerance and associated factors in the Punjab Province of Pakistan. Journal of Primary Care Diabetes Europe. Published by Elsevier Inc.4 (2);79-83, 2010
- [20] World Health Organization. Programmes and Projects. Diabetes Programme. Prevalence of diabetes in the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. Retrieved August 2010.
- [21] Ramachandran A. Urban India: a breeding ground for Diabetes. Diabetes Voice 2002: 47 (1):18-20
- [22] Saeed, Khwaja Mir Islam, Asghar. RJ, Sahak MN, Ansari J. Prevalence and risk factors associated with diabetes mellitus among Kabul citizens—Afghanistan, 2012. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. DOI 10.1007/s13410-014-0270-3
- [23] Saeed, Khwaja Mir Islam. Prevalence and Predictors of Diabetes Mellitus in Jalalabad City, Afghanistan-2013. Iranian Journal Of Diabetes And Obesity 2014: 6(1):1-8

- [24] Saeed, Khwaja Mir Islam, Rasooly, MH. Prevalence of Risk Factors for Non-Communicable Diseases (NCD) Using WHO STEP-Wise Approach in Herat City Afghanistan. IOSR Journal of Pharmacy 2016. 6(10):3- PP. 34-40
- [25] Bonita R, deCourten M, Dwyer T, Jamrozik K, Winkelmann R. Surveillance of risk factors for noncommunicable diseases: the WHO STEP-wise approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002 (WHO/NMH/CCS/01.2002).
- [26] Alberti G. The IDF consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome. The IDF consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome 2006; 28:1-7.
- [27] Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000 (WHO Technical Report Series No. 894).
- [28] Whitworth JA; World Health Organization, International Society of Hypertension Writing Group. World Health Organization (WHO)/International society of Hypertension (ISH) statement on management of hypertension. J Hypertens.2003Nov; 21(11):1983–92.
- [29] Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
- [30] Asgari F, Aghajani H, Haghazali M, Heidarian H. Non-communicable diseases risk factors surveillance in Iran. Iranian J Publ Health 2009; 38(Suppl 1):119-122.
- [31] Esteghamati A, Gouya MM, Abbasi M, Delavari A, Alikhani S, Alaedini F, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in the adult population of Iran: National Survey of Risk Factors for Non-Communicable Diseases of Iran. Diabetes Care 2008; 31:96-98.
- [32] Bener A, Zirie M, Janahi IM, Al-Hamaq AO, Musallam M, Wareham NJ. Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes mellitus and its risk factors in a population-based study of Qatar. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2009; 84:99-106.
- [33] Khawaldeh A. Hyperlipidemia in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Bahrain Medical Bulletin (1999);21(4).
- [34] Seftel AD, Sun P, Swindle R. The prevalence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and depression in men with erectile dysfunction. J Urol. 2004;171(6):2341-5.
- [35] Valliyot B, Sreedharan J, Muttappallymyalil J,Balakrishnan VS. Risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the rural population of north kerala,india: a case control study. DiabetologiaCroatica. 2013;42-1.

| Table 1: Frequenof the study partie | cy distribution of demographic, socioecon<br>cipants, Kandahar city (N=1165) | omic and behavioral charac | cteristics |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------|--|
| Variables                           | Categories   | Total                      |            |  |
|                                     |  | Ν                          | %          |  |
| Age                                 |  |                            |            |  |
|                                     | 25-34  | 520                        | 44.6       |  |
|                                     | 35-44  | 323                        | 27.7       |  |
|                                     | 45-54  | 188                        | 16.1       |  |
|                                     | 55+  | 134                        | 11.5       |  |
| Level of Educatio                   | n  |                            |            |  |
|                                     | Illiterate   | 840                        | 73.2       |  |
|                                     | Literate   | 308                        | 26.9       |  |
| Marital Status                      |  |                            |            |  |
|                                     | Single   | 120                        | 10.3       |  |
|                                     | Married  | 922                        | 79.3       |  |
|                                     | Widow/Widower  | 75                         | 6.4        |  |
| Work Status                         |  |                            |            |  |
|                                     | Official Employees   | 125                        | 13.8       |  |
|                                     | Private Business   | 110                        | 12.1       |  |
|                                     | Worker/Farmer  | 130                        | 14.3       |  |

|                   | Jobless            | 92   | 10.2 |
|-------------------|--------------------|------|------|
|                   | Housework          | 414  | 45.7 |
|                   | Unable to work     | 35   | 3.9  |
| Cigarette Smoki   | ng Status          |      |      |
|                   | No                 | 1052 | 90.3 |
|                   | Yes                | 113  | 9.7  |
| Mouth Snuff Sta   | tus                |      |      |
|                   | No                 | 973  | 83.7 |
|                   | Yes                | 189  | 16.3 |
| Fruit serving ( d | ays per week)      |      |      |
|                   | < 3                | 683  | 59.7 |
|                   | $\geq$ 3           | 462  | 40.3 |
| Vegetables servi  | ng (days per week) |      |      |
|                   | < 3                | 469  | 40.3 |
|                   | $\geq$ 3           | 695  | 59.7 |
| Vigorous Physics  | al Activity        |      |      |
|                   | No                 | 1094 | 94.1 |
|                   | Yes                | 68   | 5.9  |
| Moderate Physic   | cal Activity       |      |      |
|                   | No                 | 914  | 78.7 |
|                   | Yes                | 247  | 21.3 |
| Basic Mass index  | x (in kg/m square) |      | - T  |
|                   | Underweight        | 57   | 4.9  |
|                   | Normal weight      | 522  | 44.8 |
|                   | Overweight         | 400  | 34.3 |
|                   | Obesity            | 186  | 16   |
| Central Obesity   |                    |      | T    |
|                   | No                 | 482  | 44.5 |
|                   | Ves                | 600  | 55 5 |

| Table 2: Bivariate analysis of demographic, socio-economic and behavioral factors and   Diabetes among study participants in Kandahar Afghanistan |             |             |            |                   |           |           |  |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Variables   | Categories  | No-diabetes | Diabetes   | <b>Odds Ratio</b> | CI 95% LL | CI 95% UL |  |
| Age in year   | S           |             | -          |                   | _         |           |  |
|   | 25 - 34     | 421 (81.7)  | 94 (18.3)  | 1                 | Reference |           |  |
|   | 35 - 44     | 248 (77.5)  | 72 (22.5)  | 1.3               | 0.921     | 1.835     |  |
|   | 45 - 54     | 127 (67.9)  | 60 (32.1)  | 2.116             | 1.447     | 3.094     |  |
|   | 55 and over | 100 (75.2)  | 33 (24.8)  | 1.478             | 0.94      | 2.324     |  |
| Gender  |             |             |            |                   |           |           |  |
|   | Female      | 440 (74.6)  | 150 (25.4) | 1                 | Reference |           |  |

| Prevalence     | of Diabetes | and its R                          | Risk Factors | in Urban | Setting |
|----------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| 1 i ci alciice | of Diaberes | <i>and n</i> <sub>5</sub> <b>1</b> |              | in croun | Sering  |

|                         | Male             | 456 (80.7) | 109 (19.3) | 0.701 | 0.53      | 0.927 |  |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Level of ed             | ucation          |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | Illiterate       | 645 (77.5) | 187 (22.5) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Literate         | 234 (76.5) | 72 (23.5)  | 1.061 | 0.778     | 1.447 |  |
| Marital Sta             | itus             |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | Single           | 101 (85.6) | 17 (14.4)  | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Married          | 701 (76.6) | 214 (23.4) | 1.814 | 1.061     | 3.1   |  |
| Moderate I              | Physical Activit | y          |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | No               | 727 (80.2) | 179 (19.8) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Yes              | 166 (67.8) | 79 (32.2)  | 1.933 | 1.412     | 2.646 |  |
| Fruits servi            | ing days per wo  | eek        |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | < 3 days         | 520 (77)   | 155 (23)   | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | $\geq$ 3 days    | 358 (77.8) | 102 (22.2) | 0.956 | 0.72      | 1.27  |  |
| Vegetables              | serving days p   | er week    |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | < 3 days         | 332 (71.4) | 133 (28.6) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | $\geq$ 3 days    | 563 (81.7) | 126 (18.3) | 0.559 | 0.423     | 0.738 |  |
| Taking rice             | e in days per wo | eek        |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | < 3 days         | 706 (81.8) | 157 (18.2) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | $\geq$ 3 days    | 174 (64)   | 255 (22.5) | 2.533 | 1.873     | 3.426 |  |
| General Ob              | oesity           |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | No               | 756 (77.8) | 216 (22.2) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Yes              | 140 (76.5) | 43 (23.5)  | 1.075 | 0.74      | 1.562 |  |
| Central Ob              | esity            |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | No               | 405 (84.7) | 73 (15.3)  | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Yes              | 428 (71.9) | 167 (28.1) | 2.165 | 1.593     | 2.942 |  |
| High Blood              | Pressure         |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | No               | 629 (80.3) | 154 (19.7) | 1     | Reference |       |  |
|                         | Yes              | 267 (71.8) | 105 (28.2) | 1.606 | 1.206     | 2.139 |  |
| Triglycerid             | Triglycerides    |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | <150 mg/dL       | 595 (80.3) | 146 (19.7) | 1     | Reference | 1     |  |
|                         | ≥150 mg/dL       | 301 (73.1) | 111 (26.9) | 1.503 | 1.132     | 1.995 |  |
| Low Density Lipoprotein |                  |            |            |       |           |       |  |
|                         | ≥100 mg/dL       | 410 (72.6) | 155 (27.4) | 1     | Reference | 1     |  |
|                         | <100 mg/dL       | 483 (82.6) | 102 (17.4) | 0.559 | 0.421     | 0.741 |  |

Table 3: Multivariate analysis of risk factors and Diabetes among study participants in Kandahar city Afghanistan Р Varia Odds CI 95% CI 95% Val Lower Upper Categories B Limit Limit bles Ratio ue < 0.031 1.031 1.016 1.047 001 Age Moderate Physical Activity

# Prevalence of Diabetes and its Risk Factors in Urban Setting

|                         | No                  |           | 1     | Reference |       |          |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|--|--|
|                         | Vee                 | 0.001     | 0.271 | 0.251     | 0.549 | <        |  |  |
|                         | Yes                 | -0.991    | 0.371 | 0.251     | 0.548 | 001      |  |  |
| Reclinir                | ng at home in hours | s per day | T     |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | No                  |           | 1     | Reference | 1     | 1        |  |  |
|                         | Yes                 | 0.073     | 1.076 | 1.008     | 1.149 | < 05     |  |  |
| Body M                  | ass Index           | 0.034     | 1.035 | 1.005     | 1.065 | < 05     |  |  |
| Central                 | Obesity             |           |       |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | No                  |           | 1     | Reference |       |          |  |  |
|                         | V                   | 0.074     | 0.270 | 0.050     | 0.550 | <        |  |  |
| Taling                  | Yes                 | -0.974    | 0.378 | 0.258     | 0.552 | 001      |  |  |
| davs pe                 | r week              | -0.298    | 0.742 | 0.669     | 0.824 | <<br>001 |  |  |
| Taking                  | chicken in days     |           |       |           |       | <        |  |  |
| per wee                 | k                   | -0.539    | 0.583 | 0.476     | 0.715 | 001      |  |  |
| Taking rice in days per |                     |           |       |           |       | <        |  |  |
| week                    |                     | 0.266     | 1.305 | 1.15      | 1.481 | 001      |  |  |
| Triglyco                | eride               |           |       |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | <150 mg/dL          |           | 1     | Reference | 1     |          |  |  |
|                         | >150  mg/dI         | 0.517     | 0.596 | 0.42      | 0.847 | <        |  |  |
| IDI                     | ≥150 lllg/dL        | -0.517    | 0.390 | 0.42      | 0.047 | 001      |  |  |
| LDL                     |                     |           |       |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | <100 mg/dL          |           | 1     | Reference | 1     | 1        |  |  |
|                         | >100 mg/dL          | 0.69      | 1.994 | 1.42      | 2.799 | < 001    |  |  |
| High Bl                 | High Blood Pressure |           |       |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | Normal              |           | 1     | Reference |       |          |  |  |
|                         | Pre-                |           |       |           |       |          |  |  |
|                         | hypertension        | 0.422     | 1.525 | 1.028     | 2.263 | < 05     |  |  |
|                         | Hypertension        | -0.438    | 0.646 | 0.402     | 1.036 | <<br>071 |  |  |